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| Background |
| :---: |
| - In order to target highly connected |
| healthcare facilities for infection |

In order to target highly connected
healthcare facilities for infection prevention, we created a network of all hospitals in Tennessee (TN) Tennessee Hospital Discharge Data System (HDDS) provided claims data from TN hospitals

- Protected health information (PHI) - Protected health information (PH)
in HDDS is accessible for internal in HDDS is accessible for internal Tennessee Department of Healt (TDH) use; less is available for
approved research outside of TDH approved research outside of TDH
Patient date of birth (DOB), sex, Patient date of birth (DOB), sex
and zip code are available for and zip code are available
external and internal use external and internal use
Social security number (SSN) and full-name are available only for TDH use
- We evaluated two personmatching strategies to identify individuals with multiple hospital admissions


## Methods

Person matching, sensitivity analysis, and interrater agreement analysis were conducted using SAS 9.4.

See details in Figure 1 and 2.


Figure 2. Summary of Results

[^0]Moderately High Interrater Agreement weighted $\mathrm{k}=\mathbf{0 . 7 5 9}$

Enhanced Matching Identified 986,561 individuals 256,720 (26\%) with multiple admissions

| Results |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SimpleMatching | Enhanced Matching |  | TOTAL |
|  | Single <br> Admissions | Multiple Admissions |  |
| $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Smolissons }}}_{\text {Single }}$ | 241,25 | 23.596 | 264,85 |
| Multiple | 34641 | 63457 | 669,215 |
| TOTAL | 275,996 | 658,170 | 934,066 |
| Simple matching <br> Sensitivity $=87.4 \%$ <br> Specificity $=96.4 \%$ |  |  |  |
| to identify individuals with multiple admissions |  |  |  |

Conclusions
Simple Matching on DOB, sex and ZIP code can identify unique individuals with few limitations individuals with few limitations Simple strategy is useful when lacking protected health information such as SSN and patient names
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[^0]:    Simple Matching
    Identified 934,066 individuals 262,509 (28\%) with multiple admissions

