

2023 CSTE Annual Conference Abstract Rubric

All submitted CSTE Annual Conference Abstracts are reviewed on a scale of 1 (Not Acceptable) to 5 (Excellent) on the following criteria:

Criteria	Criteria Details
Relevance/Importance to a Broad CSTE Audience	An abstract submitted to CSTE should address topics that are of use and importance to a broad audience within the CSTE membership. If accepted, this presentation is likely to attract a large audience.
Relevance/Importance to the specific CSTE Committee	An abstract reviewed by a specific CSTE Planning Committee should address central topics of use and importance to the specific Committee.
Clarity	The abstract title, content, and learning objectives (if applicable) are clearly described and fit in with the topic of the session.
Technical Quality/Scientific Merit	The abstract meets high standards of technical quality and/or has scientific merit. Technical details are well explained and presentation is well laid out.
Innovativeness	The abstract introduces new ideas and/or methods and has the potential to promote the development of new ideas and/or methods within the field.